

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED-INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/842,628	04/27/2001	Joseph Roberts	023032/0108	9388	
22428 75	590 04/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER			BROWN, TIMOTHY M		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	TNW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			1648		

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3. 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/842,628	ROBERTS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tim Brown	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replectified in the maximum statutory period in the period for reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	<i>pril 2001</i> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 24-30 and 47-57 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 24-30 and 47-57 are subject to restrict	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		atent Application (PTO-152)			

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2. According to PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. The technical feature of Group I is a cell-free glutaminase preparation which is shown by Davidson et al. 1 to lack novelty or inventive step and does not make a contribution over the prior art.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 24-27, 29, 30 and 48-50 drawn to a cell-free preparation of *Pseudomonas* glutaminase.

Group II, claims 24 and 28, drawn to a product by process using DNA in a recombinant microorganism to obtain therapeutically suitable glutaminase.

Group III, claim 47 drawn to a nucleic acid comprising SEQ ID NO: 1.

Group IV, claims 51-57, drawn to a method for producing glutaminase through the cell culture of a recombinant microorganism.

Groups I-III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

Application/Control Number: 09/842,628

Art Unit: 1648

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Group I is unrelated to Group II in that Group I drawn to *Pseudomonas* glutaminase while Group II is drawn to glutaminase derived from a recombinant microorganism. Being derived from different genomes, the glutaminase from each of Groups I and II would have distinct amino acid sequences and distinct physical properties. Thus, Groups I and II have different effects. Group III is unrelated to Groups I and II for being directed to a nucleic acid sequence. Unlike the glutaminase proteins of Groups I and II which function as enzymes, the nucleic acid sequence of Group III serves to encode a protein. Accordingly Group III has a different mode of operation than Groups I and II. For at least these reasons, Groups I-III are unrelated.

Groups I and II are related to Group IV as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, Groups IV is drawn to a method of producing glutaminase using bacterial cell culture. The glutaminase of Group I and the glutaminase of Group II can each be made by a materially different process because each glutaminase can be purified from cell homogenates using affinity chromatography. Accordingly, Groups I and II are distinct from Group IV.

Group III and Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Davidson L. "Purification and Properties of an L-Glutaminase-L-Asparaginase from Pseudomonas acidovorans" Journal of Bacteriology (March 1997) pp. 1379-1386

Application/Control Number: 09/842,628

Art Unit: 1648

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the nucleic acid of Group III is can be used in a materially different process in that in can be used as a genetic probe to detect the presence of a glutaminase gene. Accordingly, Groups III and IV are distinct.

Claim 24 links the inventions of Group I and Group II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of linking claim 24. Upon the allowance of this linking claim, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-0773. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (571) 272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tim Brown Examiner Art Unit 1648

tmb

ULRIKE WINKLER, PHD.
PATENT EXAMINER 4/16/04